N64-19409

NASA CR-100075

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

CASE FILE COPY

INTERAGENCY REPORT: ASTROGEOLOGY 11

A LIMITATION OF FIRST GENERATION LUNAR ORBITER
NEGATIVES AS APPLIED TO PHOTOCLINOMETRY

By

Neil Gambell and Baerbel K. Lucchitta

November 1968

This report is preliminary and has not
been edited or reviewed for conformity
with U.S. Geological Survey standards
and nomenclature.

Prepared by the Geological Survey for the
National Aeronautics and Space
Administration

INTERAGENCY REPORT: ASTROGEOLOGY 11

A LIMITATION OF FIRST GENERATION LUNAR ORBITER
NEGATIVES AS APPLIED TO PHOTOCLINOMETRY

By

Neil Gambell and Baerbel K. Lucchitta

November 1968

CONTENTS

ILLUSTRATIONS

TABLES

A LIMITATION OF FIRST GENERATION LUNAR ORBITER
NEGATIVES AS APPLIED TO PHOTOCLINOMETRY

By

Neil Gambell and Baerbel K. Lucchitta

INTRODUCTION

The authors have recently been investigating the photoclino-
metric method of determining lunar slopes from Lunar Orbiter photo-
graphic negatives using an IBM 360/65 translation of the Lambiotte-
Taylor program[1]. They have found that the film density range
within which reliable slope data can be obtained is occasionally
exceeded. In this computer program any density value which ex-
ceeds the densest gray step of the gray scale in the edge data
(on negatives) will be recorded as the density value of the dark-
est step. Consequently, all lunar slopes calculated from density
readings that are higher than step nine of the gray scale, will
show an essentially uniform and erroneous slope within any parti-
cular scanned site. A modification of the computer program could
allow for extrapolation of values along the Hurter and Driffield
(H and D) curve beyond the limits of the gray scale, but such an
extrapolation would probably be meaningless. Furthermore, the
density readings near the upper limit of the gray scale may give
unreliable results, as the curve of density versus slope is very
sensitive in this area.

A test was run in order to determine approximately the per-
centage of Lunar Orbiter first generation photographic negatives
from Missions II and III that are useful for slope determination
by the photoclinometric method.

---

[1] Lambiotte, J. J., and Taylor, G. R., 1967, A photometric
technique for deriving slopes from Lunar Orbiter photography,
presented at Space Systems for Planetary Geology and Geophysics
Conf., Boston, 1967: Natl. Aeronautics and Space Adm., Langley
Research Center, 22 p.

TEST PROCEDURE

Every 10th film negative canister was selected from the shelf. The 10th framelet was taken from each can chosen. Seventeen frame- lets from Mission II and 14 framelets from Mission III were tested (see table 1).

Only framelets that showed the lunar landscape were selected. When a canister was found to contain blank film, the next canister was selected instead. Also, framelets with bad bimat marks were skipped. Therefore, these percentages represent an already re- stricted sample.

Each framelet was scanned on the microdensitometer across the gray scale of the edge data, and across three areas of lunar landscape; two of these were located near each edge and one near the middle of each framelet. The parameters on the microdensi- tometer were set equal to those used for the Lambiotte-Taylor photoclinometry program (table 2).

For each framelet, two graphs were made. (See figs. 1-3 for representative set.) One graph (e.g. figs. 1a-3a) shows the gray scale scan from the edge data, and a scan made across the lunar landscape near the end of the framelet containing the edge data. These scans are referenced to the 0.0 density unit line deter- mined from clear glass, and a line representing the 2.0 density unit level taken from a neutral density filter. The gray scale step nine, the starting and ending points of the picture scan, and the density unit reference lines are each labeled. Also given is the corresponding encoder count, which is the digitized equivalent of the density interval represented on the graph.

The other graph (e.g. figs. 1b-3b) shows the scan across the middle of the framelet, and the scan near the other end of the framelet. Also shown are the 2.0 and 0.0 density unit lines. By superimposing the two graphs it is possible to see the relative position of the gray scale and the three landscape scans for each framelet.

Table 1.--Lunar Orbiter framelets tested to determine suitability for photoclinometric reduction

Can	Frame	Framelet
MISSION II		
2010	H-22	292
2020	H-61	430
2030	H-86	676
2040	M-131	786
2050	H-178	719
2060 A	M-207	767-768
2070 A	H-175	349
2080 A	H-146	526
2090 A	M-114	568-569
2100 A *	M-88	165
2110 A	M-59	352
2120 A	H-33	723
2204	H-8	486
2242	H-140	775
2274	H-162	708
2298	Unknown	550
2326	Unknown	747
MISSION III		
3003	M-5	696
3014 †	M-40	071-072
3023 ‡	M-66	676
3033	H-98	698
3043	H-137	808
3053	H-183	822
3063 B	H-198	836
3073 A	M-168	066
3083 A	M-141	517
3093 A	H-117	183
3103 A	H-91	810
3205	H-13	506
3240	H-124	100
3278 §	H-156	480

* The 10th and 11th framelets were blank, therefore the 12th framelet was used.
† Can 3013 contains blank, over-exposed film.
‡ The 10th framelet was blank, there the 11th framelet was used.
§ The photographic image of the 10th framelet was mostly obliterated by a bimat mark, therefore the 11th framelet was used.

Table 2.--Joyce-Loebl MK III CS microdensitometer parameters

Condenser	32 mm
Optical magnification	20X
Mechanical magnification	10X .
Vertical aperture	1.5 mm
Horizontal aperture	1.5 mm
Spot size	0.075 mm X 0.075 mm
Wedge	F-140 0-2.4d
Encoder	1-168

For evaluation of the data, the graphs have been classified into three groups. Group I graphs are those in which most, or all, of the density values of the topography scans positioned above the gray scale boundary. Group II graphs are those which show the density values of the topography scans positioned close to the gray scale boundaries. It may be possible to extract a small amount of slope data from framelets which show plots similar to these, although many of the slopes may not be reliable. Group III graphs are those that show framelet scans located well below the densest step of the gray scale. The maximum amount of slope data can be extracted from framelets which show plots similar to these.

RESULTS

The following number of Lunar Orbiter II test framelets were listed under each group: I, 6; II, 2; III, 9. Thus about 35 percent of the 17 Mission II first generation negatives are unsuitable for use with the Lambiotte-Taylor slope determination program, about 12 percent are borderline cases, and about 53 percent are acceptable.

Lunar Orbiter III negatives were grouped as follows: I, 1; II, 3; III, 10. Thus about 7 percent of the framelets are unsuitable, about 21 percent are borderline cases, and about 72 percent are acceptable.

Figure 1a.--Nine-step gray scale and near-data-edge picture scan of emulsion side of Lunar Orbiter II framelet 786, Group I.

5

Figure 1b.--Near-center and far-edge picture scans of emulsion side of Lunar Orbiter II framelet 786, Group I.

Figure 2a.--Nine-step gray scale and near-data-edge picture scan of emulsion side of Lunar Orbiter II framelet 292, Group II.

Figure 2b.--Near-center and far-edge picture scans of emulsion side of Lunar Orbiter II framelet 292, Group II.

Figure 3a.--Nine-step gray scale and near-data-edge picture scan of non-emulsion side of Lunar Orbiter II framelet 676, Group III.

Figure 3b.--Near-center and far-edge picture scans of non-emulsion side of Lunar Orbiter II framelet 676, Group III.

10

CONCLUSIONS

A significant number of Lunar Orbiter II and III first generation negatives are completely unsuitable for photoclinometric reduction. Also, many may give unreliable slope information because the density ranges fall largely in the area of the upper gray scale limits, where very small density differences represent large differences in slope. Therefore, each negative must be carefully analyzed before it is accepted for use in lunar slope determination. The same limitation applies to Lunar Orbiter I, IV, and V photographs, though their first-generation negatives were not specifically tested. The Branch of Astrogeologic Studies now tests all material submitted for photoclinometric reduction to evaluate potential suitability.